<u>REMARKS</u>

The Office action mailed on 17 May 2005 (Paper No. 20050516) has been carefully considered.

Claims 1, 7 and 28 are being amended. Thus, claims 1 thru 8, 12, 13, 15 thru 17, 19 thru 32, 34 thru 36 and 41 thru 43 are pending in the application.

In paragraph 2 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 17, 19 thru 25, 27 and 42 under 35 U.S.C. §102 for alleged anticipation by Mokhtari et al., entitled Bit-Rate Transparent Electronic Data Regeneration in Repeaters for High Speed Lightwave Communication Systems, Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE, International Symposium, Vol. 2, pp. 508-511. In paragraph 4 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 28 thru 32, 36 and 43 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Mokhtari et al. In paragraph 5 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 1 thru 8, 12, 13, 16 and 41 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Mokhtari et al. in view of Ishihara, U.S. Patent No. 5,557,648. In paragraph 6 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claims 15, 26 and 35 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Mokhtari et al. in view of Ishihara '648, and further in view of Uda et al., European Patent Publication No. 0342010. In paragraph 7 of the Office action, the Examiner rejected claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. §103 for alleged unpatentability over Mokhtari et al. in view of Ishihara '648. For the reasons stated below, it is submitted that the invention recited in

the claims, as now amended, is distinguishable from the prior art cited by the Examiner so as to preclude rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102 or §103.

The present invention generally relates to a method and apparatus for measuring the bit-rate of a received signal in a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) transfer mode. The method include the steps of: duplicating the received signal to output two signals as a received signal; delaying one of the signals by a predetermined time; and performing an exclusive OR logical operation on the delayed signal and the other signal which is not delayed to generate a sensing signal. The sensing signal is then low-pass filtered and converted to digital form, and the direct current voltage of the filtered and digitized sending signal is measured to derive the bit-rate of the received signal. The derived bit rate is then used by a reference clock generator to generate a reference clock signal which is applied to a programmable recovery circuit, and the latter recovers the data and clock signal. Accordingly, when various signals having different bit-rates are used over a network in the WDM system, a receiving terminal can automatically recognize information under a bit-rate of a received optical signal and extract a reference clock signal from the received signal, thereby reproducing the received optical signal without distortion, using the clock signal.

As indicated by the current amendment of independent claims 1, 17 and 28, the bit rate of the original signal is detected <u>directly</u> from a voltage level of the low-pass filtered

signal and without using a phase locked loop.

The primary reference cited against the claims of this application is Mokhtari et al., entitled BIT-RATE TRANSPARENT ELECTRONIC DATA REGENERATION IN REPEATERS FOR HIGH SPEED LIGHTWAVE COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS, Proceedings of the 1999 IEEE, International Symposium, Vol. 2, pp. 508-511. The Mokhtari et al. reference relates to two approaches for realization of bit-rate transparent data regeneration: 1) locked oscillator, phase-locking structures (partially bitrate transparent); 2) freerunning (unlocked) oscillator, sampling structures (fully bitrate transparent).

In Mokhtari et al., a 3R repeater (as shown in Figure 1) includes a Reamp. stage, a reshaping stage, a limit stage, a retimer, and a driver. The Reamp. stage includes an optical/electrical converter and an amplifier for converting and amplifying the original signal, whereupon the converted and amplified signal is then reshaped, limited, and retimed, with the resultant signal being provided to the driver. As further disclosed, the retimer stage generally includes a clock and a decision circuit as shown in Figure 2 of Mokhtari et al.. One arrangement for clock recovery, based on phased locked loop, is shown in Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al., and includes an edge detector, a phase locked loop (PLL), a delay circuit and a decision circuit. The decision circuit is shown in more detail in Figure 4, while the edge detector is shown in more detail in Figure 5.

The secondary references cited by the Examiner are Uda et al., European Patent Publication No. 0342010, and Ishihara, U.S. Patent No. 5,557,648. Uda '010 relates to a digital signal regenerator which can produce a regenerated data pulse stream with reduced decision errors, permits the use of a phase adjustable clock pulse sequence with no deterioration in rise and fall characteristics of recovered clock pulses, and is adaptable to a high bit rate data pulse stream. Ishihara '648 relates to a phase lock loop circuit that can be formed into a full monolithic integrated circuit without an external component part, and that can maintain the phase locked state even for a consecutive identical bit state of input data including more than several tens of consecutive identical bits.

The present invention differs from the arrangement of Mokhtari et al. in several respects. First, in the invention, a first unit 40a (see Figure 3 of the application) of the identification unit 50 (see Figure 2) delays the <u>original</u> electrical signal provided by the O/E converter 10 and amplifier 20. In contrast, in Mokhtari et al., the original electrical signal provided by the O/E converter and amplified in the Reamp. stage of Figure 1 is processed by a reshaping circuit and a limit stage, and a resultant reshaped and limited signal is provided to the retimer of Figure 1 wherein delaying takes place.

Second, whereas the Examiner has argued that the edge detector of Figures 3 and 5 of Mokhtari et al. performs functions (delaying, exclusive-OR, etc.) of the first unit of the identification unit (recited in claim 1), and whereas the Examiner has further argued

that the PLL of Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al. performs the functions (filtering and bit rate detection) of the second unit of the identification unit recited in claim 1, and whereas the Examiner is apparently further arguing that the delay circuit of Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al. performs the function (generating a reference clock signal in dependence upon the detected bit rate) of the clock generator as recited in claim 1, Mokhtari et al. does not disclose a recovery unit recovering an input clock signal and data from the input optical signal in dependence upon the reference clock signal, as recited in claim 1. In fact, in Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al., the decision circuit receives the clock output of the delay circuit, and then generates a recovered data output, whereas the clock output of Figure 3 is generated by the delay circuit itself, and not by the decision circuit.

Third, by way of further distinguishing the invention from the prior art cited by the Examiner, it is noted that Mokhtari et al. discloses a configuration in which a clock is extracted from an input optical signal (see Figures 3 and 5 of the reference). It is also noted that, although the term "retime" or "retiming" might appear in Mokhtari et al., it has a different meaning from the meaning of "retiming" as disclosed in the present application.

Fourth, in the present invention, after recognizing the bit-rate of an input signal, an accurate reference clock is generated from a separate reference clock generator or separately. In contrast, in Mokhtari et al., the clock signal is extracted from the input

signal directly (again, see Figures 3 and 5 of the reference). This is technology used in a so-called "protocol fee system", which is mentioned as prior art in the present application. Such technology, as employed in Mokhtari et al., has a problem typical of the prior art (as discussed in the "Related Art" section of the application) in that noise and timing jitter accumulate and increase by passing through a node with resultant decrease in transmission quality (see page 9, line 16 - page 10, line 4 of the specification of the present application).

Fifth, the present invention is distinguishable from the prior art due to the fact that the present invention is characterized in that an original signal and a delay signal are subjected to an exclusive-OR operation, and the resultant signal is then low-pass filtered prior to being converted into digital form (see Figure 3 of the present application and the related discussion in the specification thereof). Once the latter operations are performed, in accordance with the present invention, a bit rate is derived directly from a voltage level of the low-pass filtered, digital signal emanating and received from the analog-to-digital converter and without using a phase-locked loop (again, see Figure 3 and the related discussion in the specification of the present application).

In contrast, in Mokhtari et al., a low-pass filter is disclosed, but it is not used for the same type of operation as in the present invention. Furthermore, Mokhtari et al. fails to disclose or suggest use of the voltage level of the resultant signal, as well as derivation

of the bit rate directly from the voltage level of the digital signal and without using a phase-locked loop, the latter operations being at the heart of the present invention.

In paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Office action, the Examiner alleges that Mokhtari et al. discloses low-pass filtering of a "third signal" or "resultant signal". However, it is respectfully submitted that Mokhtari et al. does not disclose or suggest such a feature. In that regard, conventionally, a phase-locked loop (PLL) circuit, such as that disclosed in Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al., can contain a low-pass filter, but such a filter is used to lowpass filter a signal indicative of a phase difference between an input signal and a feedback signal. However, the conventional PLL circuit, such as that disclosed in Figure 3 of Mokhtari et al., will not low-pass filter the input signal. Accordingly, with respect to the input signal shown in Figure 6 of Mokhtari et al. (i.e., the output signal of Figure 5 thereof), there is no disclosure or suggestion of low-pass filtering the input signal, much less any disclosure or suggestion of low-pass filtering a signal corresponding to the "third signal" recited in claims 1 and 28, or the "resultant signal" recited in claim 17. Rather, at best, Mokhtari et al. suggests that a "difference signal", as opposed to an "input signal" corresponding to the recited "third signal" or the recited "resultant signal", is low-pass filtered. Furthermore, neither Mokhtari et al. nor the other cited references disclose or suggest detection or derivation of a bit rate <u>directly</u> from a voltage level of a low-pass filtered signal and without using a phase locked loop, as claimed.

Turning to consideration of the claims, independent claim 1 is being amended to recite that the second unit of the identification unit detects a bit rate directly from a voltage level of a low-pass filtered signal and without using a phase-locked loop, and that the bit rate deriving unit of the second unit performs the latter function. Thus, independent claim 1 recites the invention in a manner distinguishable from the prior art by virtue of the fact that the prior art does not disclose or suggest an identification unit which comprises first and second units with the respective functions recited in the claim, including the performance of an exclusive-OR operation upon first and second signals in the first unit so as to form a third signal, and low-pass filtering the third signal so as to detect a bit rate directly from a voltage level of the low-pass filtered third signal and without using a phase looked loop. Moreover, the prior art does not disclose or suggest a second unit which comprises a low-pass filter for low-pass filtering the third signal in combination with the analog-to-digital converter for converting the low-pass filtered third signal from analog to digital form, as well as a bit rate deriving unit for deriving a bit rate directly from the voltage level of the digital signal, as recited in amended claim 1.

Independent method claim 17 is being amended in a manner similar to the amendment of claim 1. Thus, claim 17 distinguishes the inventive method from the prior art cited by the Examiner. That is, the prior art cited by the Examiner does not disclose or suggest the generation of a "resultant signal" by performing an exclusive-OR operation on a first signal and a second signal corresponding to an original signal delayed by a

predetermined quantity of time and an original signal not delayed, respectively, and the prior art does not disclose or suggest the determination of a bit rate of the original signal by low-pass filtering the resultant signal, and by determining the bit rate directly from a voltage level of the low-pass filtered resultant signal and without using a phase locked loop. Finally, the prior art does not disclose or suggest the step of generating a reference clock signal separate from the original signal and in dependence upon the bit rate as determined in the previous step.

Independent apparatus claim 28 is being amended in a manner similar to the amendments of claims 1 and 17. Thus, independent claim 28 recites the apparatus as comprising the combination of a converter, an identification unit, a clock generator and a recovery unit, and claim 28 further recites the identification unit as comprising a first unit and a second unit having the functions discussed above relative to independent claim 1. Thus, independent claim 28 recites the invention in a manner distinguishable from the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest an identification unit comprising a first unit for delaying an original electrical signal, for performing an exclusive-OR operation upon a first signal corresponding to the original electrical signal delayed by predetermined quantity of time, and a second signal corresponding to the original electrical signal not delayed, the first unit forming a third signal as a result of the exclusive-OR operation. Moreover, the prior art does not disclose or suggest an identification unit which includes a second unit for low-pass filtering the third signal, and

for detecting the bit rate of the original signal directly from a voltage level of the lowpass filtered third signal and without using a phase locked loop, as now recited in claim 28. In the latter regard, the arguments set forth above relative to independent claims 1 and 17 apply equally to independent claim 28, as now amended.

It should be noted that the dependent claims provide further distinctions between the invention and the prior art cited by the Examiner. In particular, it should be noted that dependent claims 41 thru 43 recite that the recovery unit of claims 1 and 28 comprise a "programmable recovery unit", while the recovering step of method claim 17 is performed by a "programmable recovery unit". The prior art cited by the Examiner does not disclose or suggest such a "programmable recovery unit".

Finally, an Information Disclosure Statement is being filed concurrently herewith in order to place on the record a copy of a German Office Action of 17 June 2005 and two cited references. It is respectfully submitted that, for the reasons stated above, the invention is distinguishable from the references cited in the German Office Action so as to preclude rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102 or §103.

PATENT P56077

In view of the above, it is submitted that the claims of this application are in

condition for allowance, and early issuance thereof is solicited. Should any questions

remain unresolved, the Examiner is requested to telephone Applicant's attorney.

No fee is incurred by this Amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert E. Bushnell,

Attorney for the Applicant Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 408-9040

Folio: P56077 Date: 8/17/05 I.D.: REB/JGS